



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,340	09/07/2005	Hiroyuki Kojima	266229US6PCT	3706
22850	7590	05/22/2008	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			HSU, AMY R	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2622		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/525,340	KOJIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	AMY HSU	2622	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Amy Hsu. (3) Kurt Berger.
 (2) Tuan Ho. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 May 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: Takagi et al. (US 7209180).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to Claim 1, and examiner's position is that the proposed amendments do not overcome the prior art due to aspect ratio identification signal is inherent to a video signal. Claim 18 was not addressed by examiner in previous office action and will be addressed in the next office action. The limitations of Claim 18 are not taught by Takagi which is listed above.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tuan V Ho/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.